IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 6TH ASWINA 1929

RP.No. 864 OF 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WA.1588/2005 Dated 26/09/2005
.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/APPELLANT/PETITIONERS &
---

1. ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR, PROPRIETOR,
KALLADA BRICKS AND TILES INDUSTRIES,
WEST KALLADA, PERUVELIKARA P.O.,KOLLAM (DIED)

2. SHAFFI MUSLIAR,S/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR,
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.,
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

3. THAHIRA BEEGU,D/O.
ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR,SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.,
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

4. REHMATH BEEGUM,D/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
,SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

5. NAJUMUDEEN MUSLIAR,S/O.
ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

6. MUNTHAS BEEGUM,D/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

7. ASHKAR KHAN MUSLIAR,
S/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

8. LAILA BEEGUM,W/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

9. AYOOB,S/O.ZAINULABDEEN MUSLIAR
SHAFFI MANZIL, T.K.M.C.P.O.
KOLLAM-5 (ADDL.2ND REVIEW PETITIONER)

BY ADV. SRI.C.RAJENDRAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS/RESPODENTS IN WA
---

1. R.VIJAYAKUMARAN PILLAI,
NARAYANAPURAM BUNGLOW,THIRUTHIKARA,
KUNNATHOOR,KOLLAM DISTRICT.

2. THE MANAGING PARTNER,
KALLADA BRICKS AND TILES INDUSTRIES,
WEST KALLADA,PERUVELIKARA P.O.,
KOLLAM SRI.DAVID VARGHESE,IYKKARA VEEDU,
AYTHOTTUVA MURI, WEST KALLADA P.O.,KOLLAM.

3. RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI,
RAJALEKSHMI SADANAM,PERUVELIKKARA,
KARIMATHOTTUA P.O.,SASTHAMCOTTA,
KANNATHOOR TALUK.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN & S. SIRI JAGAN, JJ.

C.M. APPLN. 381/2007 & R.P. NO. 864 OF 2007

DATED THIS, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER, 2007.

O R D E R

Siri Jagan, J.

Delay is condoned.

2. The appellant in W.A. 1588/2005 has come up with this review petition. The subject matter of the writ petition and writ appeal was an order passed by the Labour Court whereby certain amounts had been directed to be paid to the workman involved in a claim petition under Section 33C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act. The contention is that the amount was fixed on the basis that the monthly wages of the workman was Rs. 1,500/- and the same is wrong because of the later decision of the same Labour court in another Claim Petition.

3. Neither in the writ petition nor in the writ appeal the petitioner in this review petition has taken any contention to this effect. Therefore, we are not inclined to consider the said contention in a review petition. In the circumstances, the review petition is dismissed.

P.R. RAMAN,
(JUDGE)

S. SIRI JAGAN,
(JUDGE)

:2:

knc/-